# The Reachability Problem for Petri Nets is Not Elementary 

Wojciech Czerwiński ${ }^{1}$, Sławomir Lasota ${ }^{1}$, Ranko Lazić ${ }^{2}$, Jérôme Leroux ${ }^{3}$ and Filip Mazowiecki ${ }^{3}$

${ }^{1}$ Univ. Warsaw<br>${ }^{2}$ Univ. Warwick<br>${ }^{3}$ Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, LaBRI

Funded by ANR project BRAVAS

# The Reachability Problem for Petri Nets is Not Elementary 

Wojciech Czerwiński ${ }^{1}$, Sławomir Lasota ${ }^{1}$, Ranko Lazić ${ }^{2}$, Jérôme Leroux ${ }^{3}$ and Filip Mazowiecki ${ }^{3}$

${ }^{1}$ Univ. Warsaw<br>${ }^{2}$ Univ. Warwick<br>${ }^{3}$ Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, LaBRI

Funded by ANR project BRAVAS

## Introduction

VASS and Counter Programs

## Vector addition systems with states (VASS)

$(d, Q, T)$, where $T \subseteq Q \times \mathbb{Z}^{d} \times Q$
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Configurations $q(\mathbf{v})=(q, \mathbf{v}) \in Q \times \mathbb{N}^{d}$
Example run:
$p(0,0,1) \rightarrow p(0,1,0) \rightarrow q(0,1,0) \rightarrow q(0,0,2) \rightarrow p(1,0,2)$
Notation: $p(0,0,1) \xrightarrow{*} p(1,0,2)$

## Decision problems

## Reachability problem: <br> Given: VASS $(d, Q, T)$ and configurations $p(\mathbf{u}), q(\mathbf{v})$ <br> DECIDE: whether $p(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{*} q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

## Decision problems

Reachability problem:
Given: VASS $(d, Q, T)$ and configurations $p(\mathbf{u}), q(\mathbf{v})$
DECIDE: whether $p(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{*} q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

State reachability problem:
Given: VASS $(d, Q, T)$ a configuration $p(\mathbf{u})$ and a control-state $q$ DECIDE: whether exists $\mathbf{v}$ s.t. $p(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{*} q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

## Decision problems

Reachability problem:
Given: VASS $(d, Q, T)$ and configurations $p(\mathbf{u}), q(\mathbf{v})$
DECIDE: whether $p(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{*} q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

State reachability problem:
Given: VASS $(d, Q, T)$ a configuration $p(\mathbf{u})$ and a control-state $q$ DECIDE: whether exists $\mathbf{v}$ s.t. $p(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{*} q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

- State reachability can be reduced to reachability


## Decision problems

Reachability problem:
Given: VASS $(d, Q, T)$ and configurations $p(\mathbf{u}), q(\mathbf{v})$
DECIDE: whether $p(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{*} q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

State reachability problem:
Given: VASS $(d, Q, T)$ a configuration $p(\mathbf{u})$ and a control-state $q$
DECIDE: whether exists $\mathbf{v}$ s.t. $p(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{*} q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

- State reachability can be reduced to reachability
- Many other problems reduce to reachability or state reachability


## VASS rechability problem

- Central problem in TCS:
- formal languages,
- logic,
- concurrent systems,
- process calculi,...
- Model of concurrency (Petri Nets) with extensive applications in modelling and analysis of:
- hardware and software,
- database systems,
- chemical, biological and business processes.
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## Reachability state of art

## State reachability Rackoff 1978 EXPSPACE-complete

| 1976 | - EXPSPACE-hard (Lipton) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | - Decidable (Mayr) |
| 1982 | - Decidable (Kosaraju) |
| 1992 | Decidable (Lambert) |
| 2009 | - Decidable (Leroux) |
| 2011 | - Decidable (Leroux) |
| 2012 | - Decidable (Leroux) |
| 2015 | - In $\mathrm{F}_{\omega^{3}}$ (Leroux and Schmitz) |
| 2019 | - Nonelementary (this talk) |
| 2019? | - In $\mathbf{F}_{\omega}$ (Leroux and Schmitz) |

## Counter programs

## Counter programs

- Operations over bounded counters $\bar{x}$ :

$$
\bar{x}+=1
$$

$\bar{x}-=1$
zero? $\bar{x}$
max? $\bar{x}$

- Operations over an unbounded counter x :
$x+=1$
$x-=1$
- Non deterministic loop
- A last operation halt if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=0$


## Semantics

A $B$-run is a run such that:

- bounded counters ranges in $\{0, \ldots, B\}$,
- unbounded counters ranges in $\mathbb{N}$.

A run is complete if it:

- starts with zero in every counter, and
- ends by executing the last halt if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=0$.
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$$
p(0,0,1) \xrightarrow{*} p(1,0,2) ?
$$

$z+=1$
loop
loop

$$
y+=1 \quad z-=1
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loop
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y-=1 \quad z+=2
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$$
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$$
x-=1 \quad z-=2
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halt if $x, y, z=0$.
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Reachability problem (for counter programs):
Given: A counter program and a bound $B$.
Decide: Does it have a complete $B$-run ?

$p(0,0,1) \xrightarrow{*} p(1,0,2) ?$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z+=1 \\
& \text { loop } \\
& \quad \text { loop } \\
& \quad y+=1 \quad z-=1 \\
& \text { loop } \\
& \quad y-=1 \quad z+=2 \\
& \quad x+=1 \\
& x-=1 \quad z-=2 \\
& \text { halt if } x, y, z=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Outline

- High level idea of the proof
- The factorial amplifier
- Composition operator


## A TOWER-complete problem

The following problem is TOWER-complete (see Schmitz 2016) Given: A counter program without unbounded counters and $n$. DECIDE: Does it have a complete $3 \underbrace{!\cdots!}_{n \text { times }}$-run ?

## $B$-computed relations

The relation $B$-computed in some counters $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{l}$ is the set of tuples of values after a complete $B$-run in those counters.
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loop
    i += 1
    // assert \overline{a}=0
    loop
        x += 1 à += 1
        max? à
        loop
        à -= 1
    zero? à
max? \
halt
```


## $B$-computed relations

The relation $B$-computed in some counters $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{l}$ is the set of tuples of values after a complete $B$-run in those counters.
loop
$\overline{\mathrm{i}}+=1$
// assert $\overline{\mathrm{a}}=0$
loop

$$
x+=1 \quad \bar{a}+=1
$$

max? ā
loop
à $-=1$
zero? ā
max? $\bar{i}$
halt

## $B$-computed relations

The relation $B$-computed in some counters $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{l}$ is the set of tuples of values after a complete $B$-run in those counters.
loop
$\overline{\mathrm{i}}+=1$
// assert $\overline{\mathrm{a}}=0$
loop

$$
x+=1 \quad \bar{a}+=1
$$

max? ā
loop
à $-=1$
zero? ā
max? $\bar{i}$
halt

The relation $B$-computed in x is $\mathrm{x}=B^{2}$.

## ( $B, R$ )-amplifier

A $(B, R)$-amplifier is a counter program that $B$-computes in $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ the relation $\mathrm{b}=R \wedge \mathrm{c}>0 \wedge \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{c} \cdot R$.

## ( $B, R$ )-amplifier

A $(B, R)$-amplifier is a counter program that $B$-computes in $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ the relation $\mathrm{b}=R \wedge \mathrm{c}>0 \wedge \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{c} \cdot R$.

Example: Counter program $\mathcal{A}_{3}$

$$
\mathrm{b}+=3 \quad \mathrm{c}+=1 \quad \mathrm{~d}+=3
$$

loop

$$
c+=1 \quad d+=3
$$

halt
$\mathcal{A}_{3}$ is a $(0,3)$-amplifier.

## Simulation with amplifiers

## Simulation with amplifiers

We provide a composition operator $\mathcal{A} \triangleright \mathcal{P}$ such that if:

- $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(B, R)$-amplifier.
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a counter program.

Then:

> Relations $R$-computed by $\mathcal{P}$
> $=$
> Relations $B$-computed by $\mathcal{A} \triangleright \mathcal{P}$

## Factorial Amplifier

There exists a counter program $\mathcal{F}$ that is a $(B, B!)$-amplifier for every $B>0$ called the factorial amplifier.

## Factorial Amplifier

There exists a counter program $\mathcal{F}$ that is a $(B, B!)$-amplifier for every $B>0$ called the factorial amplifier.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Relations } 3 \overbrace{!\cdots!}^{n \text { times }} \text {-computed by } \mathcal{P} \\
= \\
\text { Relations 0-computed by } \mathcal{A}_{3} \triangleright \underbrace{\mathcal{F} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \mathcal{F}}_{n \text { times }} \triangleright \mathcal{P}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The Factorial Amplifier

to $B$-compute the relation $\mathrm{b}=B!\wedge \mathrm{c}>0 \wedge \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{c} \cdot B$ !

## Main idea

## Implement with a counter program:

$$
n \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i<B} \frac{i+1}{i}=n \cdot B
$$

## A weak multiplier by $\frac{3}{2}$

// assert $\mathrm{x}=x \quad \mathrm{x}^{\prime}=x^{\prime}$

## loop

$$
x-=2 \quad x^{\prime}+=3
$$

## loop

$x^{\prime}-=1 \quad x+=1$
// assert $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)$
// assert $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{2}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow x^{\prime}=0$

## A weak multiplier by $\frac{3}{2}$

$$
/ / \text { assert } \mathrm{x}=x \quad \mathrm{x}^{\prime}=x^{\prime}
$$

loop
$x-=2 \quad x^{\prime}+=3$
loop
$x^{\prime}-=1 \quad x+=1$
$/ /$ assert $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)$
$/ /$ assert $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{2}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow x^{\prime}=0$

| x | $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 13 | 3 | 16 |
| 11 | 6 | 17 |
| 9 | 9 | 18 |
| 7 | 12 | 19 |
| 5 | 15 | 20 |
| 3 | 18 | 21 |
| 1 | 21 | $22=\frac{3}{2} 15-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 2 | 20 | 22 |
| 3 | 19 | 22 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 21 | 1 | 22 |
| 22 | 0 | 22 |

## Implementing $n \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i<B} \frac{i+1}{i}=n \cdot B$

## Implementing $n \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i<B} \frac{i+1}{i}=n \cdot B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{i}}+=1 \quad \mathrm{x}+=1 \quad \mathrm{y}+=1 \\
& \text { loop } \\
& x+=1 \quad y+=1 \\
& \text { loop } \\
& \text { // assert } x+x^{\prime} \leq y \cdot \bar{i} \\
& \operatorname{lop}_{x-=\bar{i}} \quad x^{\prime}+=\bar{i}+1 \\
& \text { loop } \\
& x^{\prime}-=1 \quad x+=1 \\
& \text { weak multiplier by } \frac{\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1}{\overline{\mathrm{i}}} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
/ / \text { assert } x+x^{\prime} \leq y \cdot(\bar{i}+1) \\
\bar{i}+=1
\end{array} \\
& \text { max? } \bar{i} \\
& \text { loop } \\
& x-=\overline{\mathrm{i}} \quad y-=1
\end{aligned}
$$

halt if $\mathrm{y}=0$

## How to simulate $x-=\bar{i}$ ?

$x$ is an unbounded counter
$\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ is a bounded counter and $\bar{a}$ is an auxiliary bounded counter assumed to be zero.

## How to simulate $x-=\bar{i}$ ?

$x$ is an unbounded counter
$\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ is a bounded counter and
$\bar{a}$ is an auxiliary bounded counter assumed to be zero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& / / \text { assert } \mathrm{x}=x \quad \overline{\mathrm{i}}=i \quad \overline{\mathrm{a}}=0 \\
& \text { loop } \\
& \quad \mathbf{x}-=1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{i}}-=1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{a}}+=1 \\
& \text { zero? } \overline{\mathrm{i}} \\
& / / \text { assert } \mathrm{x}=x-i \quad \overline{\mathrm{i}}=0 \quad \overline{\mathrm{a}}=i \\
& \text { loop } \\
& \quad \overline{\mathrm{i}}+=1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{a}}-=1 \\
& \text { zero? } \overline{\mathrm{a}} \\
& / / \text { assert } \mathrm{x}=x-i \quad \overline{\mathrm{i}}=i \quad \overline{\mathrm{a}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to simulate $x+=\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1$ ?

$x$ is an unbounded counter
$\bar{i}$ is a bounded counter and $\bar{a}$ is an auxiliary bounded counter assumed to be zero.

## How to simulate $x+=\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1$ ?

$x$ is an unbounded counter
$\bar{i}$ is a bounded counter and
$\bar{a}$ is an auxiliary bounded counter assumed to be zero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+=1 \\
& \text { loop } \\
& x+=1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{i}}-=1 \quad \bar{a}+=1 \\
& \text { zero? } \bar{i} \\
& \text { loop } \\
& \overline{\mathrm{i}}+=1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{a}}-=1 \\
& \text { zero? ā }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The factorial amplifier

## The factorial amplifier

$$
\overline{\mathrm{i}}+=1 \quad \mathrm{~b}+=1 \quad \mathrm{c}+=1 \quad \mathrm{~d}+=1 \quad \mathrm{x}+=1 \quad \mathrm{y}+=1
$$

loop

$$
c+=1 \quad d+=1 \quad x+=1 \quad y+=1
$$

loop

| Multiply | x | d | c | b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1}{\bar{i}}$ | $\frac{1}{\bar{i}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1$ |
| $\mathbf{i}+=1$ |  |  |  |  |

max? $\bar{i}$
loop

$$
x-=\bar{i} \quad y-=1
$$

halt if $\mathrm{y}=0$

| Multiply | x | d | c | b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{i}+1}}{\overline{\mathrm{i}}}$ | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1}{\overline{\mathrm{i}}}$ | $\frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{i}}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1$ |


| Multiply | x | d | c | b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1}{\overline{\mathrm{i}}}$ | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1}{\overline{\mathrm{i}}}$ | $\frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{i}}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1$ |

$/ /$ assert $x=d \leq y \cdot \bar{i} \wedge c \geq \frac{y}{(i-1)!}$ loop

$$
c-=\bar{i} \quad c^{\prime}+=1
$$

loop at most $b$ times

$$
d-=\overline{\mathrm{i}} \quad x-=\overline{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}+=\overline{\mathrm{i}}+1
$$

loop

$$
b-=1 \quad b^{\prime}+=\bar{i}+1
$$

loop

$$
b^{\prime}-=1 \quad b+=1
$$

loop

$$
c^{\prime}-=1 \quad c+=1
$$

loop at most $b$ times

$$
d^{\prime}-=1 \quad d+=1 \quad x+=1
$$

## Controled loops

loop at most b times $<$ body $>$
$b$ is an unbounded counter
$b^{\prime}$ is an auxiliary unbounded counter

## Controled loops

loop at most b times $<$ body $>$
$b$ is an unbounded counter
$b^{\prime}$ is an auxiliary unbounded counter
loop
$\mathrm{b}-=1 \quad \mathrm{~b}^{\prime}+=1$
loop
$b^{\prime}-=1 \quad b+=1$
<body>

The composition operator

## Composing with amplifiers

## Composing with amplifiers

## Relations $R$-computed by $\mathcal{P}$

$$
\text { Relations } B \text {-computed by } \underbrace{\mathcal{A}}_{(B, R) \text {-amplifier }} \triangleright \mathcal{P}
$$

## Composing with amplifiers

Relations $R$-computed by $\mathcal{P}$
$=$
Relations $B$-computed by $\underbrace{\mathcal{A}}_{(B, R) \text {-amplifier }} \triangleright \mathcal{P}$

body of $\mathcal{A}$
initialization body
modified body of $\mathcal{P}$
halt if $d, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{1}, \ldots=0$

## Initialization body

$$
\frac{\text { Invariants }}{b=R \wedge d=c \cdot R}
$$

Encoding: We replace every bounded counter $\bar{x}_{i}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ by two fresh unbounded counters $x_{i}$ and $x_{i}^{\prime}$ satisfying $\mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}=R$.

## Initialization body

$$
\frac{\text { Invariants }}{b=R \wedge d=c \cdot R}
$$

Encoding: We replace every bounded counter $\bar{x}_{i}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ by two fresh unbounded counters $x_{i}$ and $x_{i}^{\prime}$ satisfying $\mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}=R$.

```
loop
    \(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}+=1 \quad \cdots \quad \mathrm{x}_{l}^{\prime}+=1\)
    \(b-=1 \quad d-=1\)
c \(-=1\)
```

c decreased by 1 and d by at most $R$
So if not complete $\mathrm{d}>\mathrm{c} \cdot R$

| Invariants OK | Invariants NOK |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d=c \cdot R \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}=R$ | $d>c \cdot R \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime} \leq R$ |

## Modified body of $\mathcal{P}$

Replace $\bar{x}_{i}+=1$ with $x_{i}+=1 \quad x_{i}^{\prime}-=1$ Replace $\bar{x}_{i}-=1$ with $x_{i}-=1 \quad x_{i}^{\prime}+=1$

| Invariants OK | Invariants NOK |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d=c \cdot R \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}=R$ | $d>c \cdot R \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime} \leq R$ |

## Modified body of $\mathcal{P}$

Replace $\bar{x}_{i}+=1$ with $\mathrm{x}_{i}+=1 \quad \mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}-=1$
Replace $\bar{x}_{i}-=1$ with $x_{i}-=1 \quad x_{i}^{\prime}+=1$

Replace zero? $\bar{x}_{i}$ with

## loop

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}+=1 \quad x_{i}^{\prime}-=1 \\
& d-=1
\end{aligned}
$$

c $-=1$

## loop

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}-=1 \quad x_{i}^{\prime}+=1 \\
& d-=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
c-=1
$$

| Invariants OK | Invariants NOK |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d=c \cdot R \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}=R$ | $d>c \cdot R \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i}+\mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime} \leq R$ |

## Modified body of $\mathcal{P}$

Replace $\bar{x}_{i}+=1$ with $\mathrm{x}_{i}+=1 \quad \mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}-=1$
Replace $\bar{x}_{i}-=1$ with $x_{i}-=1 \quad x_{i}^{\prime}+=1$

Replace zero? $\bar{x}_{i}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { loop } \\
& \quad x_{i}+=1 \quad x_{i}^{\prime}-=1 \\
& \quad d-=1 \\
& c-=1
\end{aligned}
$$

loop
c decreased by 2 and d by at most $2 R$

So if not complete $\mathrm{d}>\mathrm{c} \cdot R$
$\mathrm{x}_{i}-=1 \quad \mathrm{x}_{i}^{\prime}+=1$
$\mathrm{d}-=1$
c $-=1$

## To sum up

## To sum up

We obtain that way a composition operator $\triangleright$ such that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Relations } 3 \overbrace{!\cdots!}^{n \text { times }} \text {-computed by } \mathcal{P} \\
= \\
\text { Relations 0-computed by } \mathcal{A}_{3} \triangleright \underbrace{\mathcal{F} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \mathcal{F}}_{n \text { times }} \triangleright \mathcal{P}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conclusion
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